PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

AG

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT						
To:							
G-E EHRLICH (1995) LTD.	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF						
11 Menachem Begin Street	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND						
52521 Ramat Gan ISRAEL	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION						
ISRAEL	, = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =						
2 3 NOV 2009							
FILE No. USURO	(PCT Rule 44.1)						
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LT	Date of mailing						
Approximate the second	(day/month/year) 28/10/2009 (
Applicant's or agent's file reference	20/10/2009						
45480	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 helow						
International application No.							
PCT/IL2009/000443	International filing date (day/month/year)						
	23/04/2009						
Applicant							
CONTIPI LTD.							
1 The coefficient is to see by a sure of the coefficient in the coeffi							
 The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewi 	report and the written opinion of the International Searching						
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19-	·						
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	ns of the International Application (see Rule 46):						
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is non International Search Report.	mally two months from the date of transmittal of the						
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34	Chamin doe Calambatta						
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (4	11–22) 338.82.70						
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the ac	companying sheet.						
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the left	report will be established and that the declaration under						
(-)(-) is that onest and the writigh opinion of the II.	nemational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.						
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	nal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:						
the protest together with the decision thereon has been	n transmitted to the International Bureau together with the						
— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	lest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices						
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	ilicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.						
4. Reminders							
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau.	[1][[5][6][7][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6][6]						
and any house of the footinical brobarations for title triation	a publication.						
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the value international Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been as is to be a							
international preliminary examination report has been or is to be e the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the prior	\$T\$D\$(\$P\$04 1 b\$65 corrected as a constant of the constant o						
have a married and explication of the thought the bitter	nty date.						
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must within 20 months from the priority							
date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.							
The state of the state of the second state of							
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.							
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the <i>PCT Applicant's</i>							
Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.							
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer						
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2							
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,	Trudy Hinterwimmer						
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016							

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the international Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1–10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 45480	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/iSA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
nternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/)	/ear) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/IL2009/000443	23/04/2009	23/04/2008
pplicant		
CONTIPI LTD.		
This international search report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being	n prepared by this International Searchle transmitted to the International Bureau.	ng Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists		
It is also accompanied b	by a copy of each prior art document cite	d in this report.
Basis of the report		
a. With regard to the language, the	e international search was carried out or	the basis of:
the international	application in the language in which it w	ras filed
a translation of t of a translation f	he international application into urnished for the purposes of internationa	, which is the language al search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
b. This international search	report has been established taking into to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43	
		sclosed in the International application, see Box No. I.
Certain claims were for	und unsearchable (See Box No. II)	
Unity of invention is la	•	
. With regard to the title,		
the text is approved as s		
the text has been established	shed by this Authority to read as follows:	
MC41		
With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as su		_
may, within one month fro	m the date of mailing of this international	authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant search report, submit comments to this Authority
With regard to the drawings,		•
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure No.	2E
as suggested by t	he applicant	
as selected by this	S Authority, because the applicant failed	to suggest a figure
as selected by this	S Authority, because this figure better cha	aracterizes the invention
b. I none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract	

International application No PCT/IL2009/000443

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. A61F2/00 A61F6/08 A61F6/12 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61F A61H A63B Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category* Relevant to claim No. X WO 2006/097935 A2 (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; SINAI 1-9, NIR [IL]; ZIV ELAN [IL]; BUDER IDAN [IL]; 11-13, GILA) 21 September 2006 (2006-09-21) 15-17, 21,23, 25,28-35 page 43, line 24 - page 44, line 33; figures 19A-19C WO 2008/010214 A2 (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; ZIV 1 - 35ELAN [IL]; GILAN JACOB [IL]; SINAI NIR [IL]; BAU) 24 January 2008 (2008-01-24) page 24, line 30 - page 27, line 17; figures page 38, line 30 - line 33 page 40, line 24 - page 42, line 4 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not or priority date and not in conflict with the application but considered to be of particular relevance cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "E" eartier document but published on or after the international invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such docuother means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document published prior to the international filing date but in the art. later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 7 August 2009 28/10/2009 Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31~70) 340~2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Neumann, Elisabeth

International application No
PCT/IL2009/000443

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where constants and the second se				
	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.		
(WO 2004/103213 A (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; ZIV ELAN [IL]) 2 December 2004 (2004-12-02)		1-8,10, 12, 20-22,		
	page 16, paragraph 2 - page 17, paragraph 2; figures 3a-4b, 11a-13e		25,27-35		
	WO 03/047476 A1 (NISSENKORN ABRAHAM [IL]) 12 June 2003 (2003-06-12)		1-6,8-9, 15-18, 21-22,		
,	claims 1-6, 10-12; figures 2A, 2B		25-26, 29-32,35 33-34		
	DE 271 657 C (LEVY) 17 March 1914 (1914-03-17)		1-10, 15-22, 27, 20		
	the whole document		27,29, 30,32,35		
·:- · [-		
\$					
		. ,			
		. ·	-		
· .					
Ē					
			-		
· 		3 1 1			
		}			
. :		-	•		
			·		
<u> </u> 		-			

International application No. PCT/IL2009/000443

only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1–35	Box No. II Observations	where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	This international search repo	ort has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
because they relate to parts of the International application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: 3.		to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple Inventions in this international application, as follows: see additional sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers allsearchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mensioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1–35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	because they relate	to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such eaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
This International Searching Authority round multiple Inventions in this international application, as follows: see additional sheet 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International search report covers allsearchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. As no required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fees. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	3. Claims Nos.: because they are de	pendent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
This International Searching Authority found multiple Inventions in this international application, as follows: see additional sheet 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically daims Nos.: 4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1—35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	Box No. III Observations	where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
see additional sheet 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1—35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: As only some of the required additional search fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: As only some of the required additional search fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: As only some of the required additional search fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.		
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1–35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	As all required additions.	onal search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers allsearchable
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1–35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	2. As all searchable clai additional fees.	ms could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of
1–35 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	3. As only some of the roughly those claims for	equired additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
payment of a protest fee. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.		I search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is tion first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
	Remark on Protest	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protect by the search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-35

A vaginal pessary comprising a state changing mechanism which does not use fluid flow to cause state change.

2. claim: 36

A vaginal pessary comprising a state changing mechanism which does use fluid flow to cause state change.

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/IL2009/000443

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2006097935	A2	21-09-2006	AU CA EP KR	2006224158 A1 2600988 A1 1863399 A2 20070117676 A	21-09-2006 21-09-2006 12-12-2007 12-12-2007
W0 2008010214	A2	24-01-2008	AU CN EP	2007274574 A1 101511302 A 2046241 A2	24-01-2008 19-08-2009 15-04-2009
WO 2004103213	Α	02-12-2004	EP JP U\$	1631211 A1 2006528047 T 2007203429 A1	08-03-2006 14-12-2006 30-08-2007
WO 03047476	A1	12-06-2003	AU US	2002353450 A1 2005016545 A1	17-06-2003 27-01-2005
DE 271657	С		NON		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IL2009/000443 23.04.2009 23.04.2008 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A61F2/00 A61F6/08 A61F6/12 Applicant CONTIPI LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☑ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas

Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Date of completion of this opinion

see form PCT/ISA/210 Authorized Officer

Neumann, Elisabeth

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3028



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2009/000443

Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
\square a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).							
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorize by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	d						
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:							
☐ a sequence listing							
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing							
b. format of material:							
□ on paper							
☐ in electronic form							
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
contained in the international application as filed.							
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.							
\square furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theref has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	0						
5. Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2009/000443

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industria applicability	al				
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of	поп				
	☐ the entire international application					
	d claims Nos. <u>36</u>					
	pecause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter verse not require an international search (specify):	which does				
Į	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	so unclea				
[the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaning could be formed (specify):	gful opinior				
Ď	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Ne	os. 36				
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	∋				
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Search Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	(C hing				
	\square pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> . 1(a) or (b).					
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the a did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the trequirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, decomply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instruction	lo not ons.				

_	Bo	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	inventio	on					
1.	☒	In resp	onse to the invitationable time limit:	n (Form	PCT/ISA/2	06) to pay a	additional fe	es, the appl	icant has, v	within the
			paid additional fee	S.						
			paid additional fee	s under p	orotest and	l, where app	olicable, the	protest fee		· .
	-		paid additional fee				•		paid	
		\boxtimes	not paid additional							
2.		This Au	uthority found that the plicant to pay addition	ne require onal fees.	ement of u	nity of inver	ntion is not	complied wit	h and chos	se not to invite
3.	This	8 Author	ity considers that th	e require	ment of ur	nity of inven	tion in acco	ordance with	Rule 13.1,	13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied	d with				,			
	⊠r	ot comp	olied with for the foll	owing re	asons:					
			parate sheet							
4.	Con	sequent	tly, this report has b	een esta	blished in	respect of t	he followinc	narte of the	internatio	nal application
		ıll parts.				,		, parts of the	internation	iai application:
	_	•	relating to claims N	امم ۱ ۵۳						
	<u> </u>	no parts	relating to claims r	108. <u>1-35</u>			·			
		No. V Istrial a	Reasoned stater pplicability; citation	nent und ons and o	ler Rule 43 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) ons suppor	with regar	d to novelty statement	, inventiv	e step or
		ement		-						
	Nove	eity (N)		Yes:	Claims					
				No:	Claims	<u>1-35</u>				
	Inve	ntive ste	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims					
				No:	Claims	<u>1-35</u>				
	Indu	strial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-35</u>			·	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2009/000443

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

- Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)
 and /or
- 2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

This Authority considers that there are two inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

1. claims: 1-35

A vaginal pessary comprising a state changing mechanism which does not use fluid flow to cause state change.

2. claim: 36

A vaginal pessary comprising a state changing mechanism which does use fluid flow to cause state change.

The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

The common concept linking first subject, claims 1 - 35 and second subject, claim 36 (being dependent on claim 35) is providing a vaginal pessary comprising a state changing mechanism.

However, this concept is already known from document WO-A-2006/097935 (D1) (see page 43, line 24 - page 44, line 33; figures 19A-19C).

No single general inventive concept which links the two different identified subjects can be defined (Rule 31.1 PCT). Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1 WO 2006/097935 A2 (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; SINAI NIR [IL]; ZIV ELAN [IL]; BUDER IDAN [IL]; GILA) 21 September 2006 (2006-09-21)
- D2 WO 2008/010214 A2 (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; ZIV ELAN [IL]; GILAN JACOB [IL]; SINAI NIR [IL]; BAU) 24 January 2008 (2008-01-24)
- D3 WO 2004/103213 A (CONTIPI LTD [IL]; ZIV ELAN [IL]) 2 December 2004 (2004-12-02)

- D4 WO 03/047476 A1 (NISSENKORN ABRAHAM [IL]) 12 June 2003 (2003-06-12)
- DE 271 657 C (LEVY) 17 March 1914 (1914-03-17)

1 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 33 AND 35

- 1.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1.1 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A vaginal pessary (1900) sized and shaped for alleviating organ prolapse (page 43, line 24 - page 44, line 33; figures 19A-19C), comprising:

- (a) a body including at least two rib sections (1908, 1910) adapted to, at least in one state (fig. 19B), extend along a vaginal axis and apply force to facing vaginal walls along axial extents thereof, wherein said body is adapted to be in at least two states:
- (i) a compressed state (fig. 19A) in which said body is sized for insertion into said vagina; and
- (ii) an expanded state (fig. 19B) in which said body is sized and stiff enough for providing organ prolapse alleviation and in which state said ribs extend along a vaginal axis and apply force to facing vaginal walls; and
- (b) a state changing mechanism (1904) integral to said pessary and configured to change a configuration of said body from one state to the other state, which mechanism does not use fluid flow (it uses a mechanical pivoting mechanism) to cause state change, wherein said pessary is stable in shape and size in both states.
- 1.1.2 Equally, any of the documents D2 D5 (for citations see search report) discloses all the technical features of present claim 1 which is also not considered new in view of any of the documents D2 D5.
- 1.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 33 and 35, which therefore are also considered not new in view of D1 or the documents as indicated in the search report.

Claim 33 claims a system comprising a pessary whereby the technical features are more general than the pessary of claim 1 and in addition an applicator adapted for holding said pessary. This feature is also disclosed in D1, see page 43, lines 32 - 33.

2 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2 - 32, 34

Dependent claims 2 - 32, 34 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, see for example:

D1, page 43, line 24 - page 44, line 33; figures 19A-19C for claims 2 - 9, 28 - 32, 34; D2, page 24, line 30 - page 27, line 17; figures; page 38, line 30 - line 33; page 40, line 24 - page 42, line 4 for claims 10 - 27.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. Independent claims 1, 33 and 34 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1/D2) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 3. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1 Although claims 1, 33 and 35 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and/or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2 The features in the apparatus claim 5 relate to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Possible steps after receipt of the international search report (ISR) and written opinion of the International Searching Authority (WO-ISA)

General information

For all international applications filed on or after 01/01/2004 the competent ISA will establish an ISR. It is accompanied by the WO-ISA. Unlike the former written opinion of the IPEA (Rule 66.2 PCT), the WO-ISA is not meant to be responded to, but to be taken into consideration for further procedural steps. This document explains about the possibilities.

Amending claims under Art. 19 PCT

Within 2 months after the date of mailing of the ISR and the WO-ISA the applicant may file amended claims under Art. 19 PCT directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. The PCT reform of 2004 did not change this procedure. For further information please see Rule 46 PCT as well as form PCT/ISA/220 and the corresponding Notes to form PCT/ISA/220.

Filing a demand for international preliminary examination

In principle, the WO-ISA will be considered as the written opinion of the IPEA. This should, in many cases, make it unnecessary to file a demand for international preliminary examination. If the applicant nevertheless wishes to file a demand this must be done before expiry of 3 months after the date of mailing of the ISR/WO-ISA or 22 months after priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 54bis PCT). Amendments under Art. 34 PCT can be filed with the IPEA as before, normally at the same time as filing the demand (Rule 66.1 (b) PCT).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is filed and no comments/amendments have been received the WO-ISA will be transformed by the IPEA into an IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) which would merely reflect the content of the WO-ISA. The demand can still be withdrawn (Art. 37 PCT).

Filing informal comments

After receipt of the ISR/WO-ISA the applicant may file informal comments on the WO-ISA directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. These will be communicated to the designated Offices together with the IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) at 30 months from the priority date. Please also refer to the next box.

End of the international phase

At the end of the international phase the International Bureau of WIPO will transform the WO-ISA or, if a demand was filed, the written opinion of the IPEA into the IPRP, which will then be transmitted together with possible informal comments to the designated Offices. The IPRP replaces the former IPER (international preliminary examination report).

Relevant PCT Rules and more information

Rule 43 PCT, Rule 43bis PCT, Rule 44 PCT, Rule 44bis PCT, PCT Newsletter 12/2003, OJ 11/2003, OJ 12/2003